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Machine Learning (ML) models are used in many application domains.

Understanding ML inference performance is an increasingly pressing but challenging task.

Slow adoption of DL innovations
ML Model
A graph where each vertex is a layer (or operator) and an edge represents data transfer

Example: ResNet50
ML Inference Pipeline
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Unpacking into pairs (label, probabilities) and sorting
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XSP Motivation

- Inference performance is impacted by the interplay between levels of the HW/SW stack
- Any of them can be a bottleneck
XSP Motivation

- A holistic view of the model execution is needed
- Existing profiling tools are disjoint
  - Profiling at different granularities means switching between tools
  - No correlation between profiles
Current ML Profiling on GPUs

1. Using code insertion
2. Using framework profiler
3. Using nvprof or Nsight

One has to manually perform the difficult task of correlating these disjoint profiles

Model-, layer-, and GPU kernel-level profiles of MLPerf ResNet50 v1.5 with batch size 256 on a Volta GPU
An Approach - Modifying Frameworks

- NGC frameworks (TensorFlow, PyTorch, etc.) are instrumented with NVTX markers
  - GPU profile with layer annotations, lacks framework profiling
  - May inhibit frameworks from performing some optimizations
  - Does not work for DL models that use customized frameworks

- TensorFlow profiler
  - Framework profile with limited GPU profiling
  - Does not work for other frameworks

- **Vendor lock-in & limited applicability**
XSP: Across-stack Profiling

- Incorporates profile data from different sources to obtain a holistic and hierarchical view of ML workloads
  - Innovatively leverages distributed tracing
- Accurately captures the profiles at each HW/SW stack level despite the profiling overhead
  - Leveled experimentation methodology
- Coupled with an automated analysis pipeline
- Reveals insights that would otherwise be difficult to discern
Leveraging Distributed Tracing in XSP

- Observe the similarity between profiling and distributed tracing
- Turn profilers into tracers
- Convert profiled events into spans
- Multiple tracers can exist within a stack level
- Tracers can be enabled/disabled
XSP for ML Inference on GPUs

**Global Tracer:**
User inserts tracing API (startSpan & finishSpan) to capture code sections

**Framework Tracer:**
Built on top of the framework profiling capability to capture layer level information

**GPU Tracer:**
Built on top of CUPTI to capture CUDA runtime API, GPU activities, GPU metrics

---

No change to ML frameworks or libraries

1. **Model**
   - Input Pre-Process → Model Inference → Output Post-Process

2. **Layer**
   - Data → Conv → BN → Relu → ...

3. **GPU Kernel**
   - Kernel1: 
     - Name=ShuffleTensor
     - Grid=[10,1,1]
     - Block=[1024,1,1]
   - Kernel2: 
     - Name=OffsetComp
     - Grid=[99,1,1]
     - Block=[1024,1,1]
   - Kernel3: 
     - Name=VoltaCUDNN_128x64
     - Grid=[99,1,1]
     - Block=[256,1,1]

**GPU Metrics**
- SP Flop Count=62GFlop
- DRAM Read Bytes=12.1MB
- DRAM Write Bytes=296MB
- Achieved Occupancy=13.2%

---

Model-, layer-, and GPU kernel-level profiles of MLPerf ResNet50 v1.5 with batch size 256 on a Volta GPU
Dealing with Profiling Overhead

- Profiling always comes with overhead
- XSP uses leveled experimentation to get accurate timing for all levels
Leveled Experimentation

- Profilers at level n accurately capture events at level n
- Use traces from runs with different profiling levels enabled
  - Overhead_\text{n} = Profile_0/.../\text{n} - Profile_0/.../\text{n}\text{-1}
Automated Across-stack Analysis

The 15 analyses performed by XSP using profiles from one or more levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Profiling Provider</th>
<th>End-to-End Benchmarking</th>
<th>Framework Profilers</th>
<th>NVIDIA Profilers</th>
<th>XSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 Model throughput and latency</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 Layer information</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 Layer latency</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4 Layer allocated memory</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5 Layer type distribution</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6 Layer aggregated latency</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7 Layer aggregated allocated memory</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8 GPU information</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9 GPU roofline</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10 GPU aggregated information</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11 Layer aggregated GPU information</td>
<td>L/G</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12 Layer aggregated GPU metrics</td>
<td>L/G</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13 GPU vs CPU latency</td>
<td>L/G</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14 Layer roofline</td>
<td>L/G</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15 Model roofline</td>
<td>M/L/G</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example Analysis

https://ipdps20.netlify.com/tensorflow/mlperf_resnet50_v1.5/

The top 5 most time-consuming GPU kernel invocations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kernel Name</th>
<th>Layer Index</th>
<th>Layer Latency (ms)</th>
<th>KernelDRAM Reads (MB)</th>
<th>KernelDRAM Writes (MB)</th>
<th>Kernel Achieved Occupancy (%)</th>
<th>Kernel Arithmetic Intensity (flops/byte)</th>
<th>Kernel Arithmetic Throughput (Tflops/s)</th>
<th>Memory Bound?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>volta_cgemm_32x32_tn</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>77.42</td>
<td>40.33</td>
<td>12.18</td>
<td>876.97</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volta_cgemm_32x32_tn</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>77.42</td>
<td>43.93</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>841.59</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volta_scudnn_128x128_relu_interior_nn_v1</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>59.20</td>
<td>27.71</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>1,563.30</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volta_scudnn_128x64_relu_interior_nn_v1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>62.89</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>203.58</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volta_scudnn_128x128_relu_interior_nn_v1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>59.24</td>
<td>34.83</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>779.55</td>
<td>12.99</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Layer Index

GPU vs Non-GPU Normalized latency

Layer roofline analysis

Arithmetic Throughput (Tflops/s) vs Arithmetic Intensity (flops/byte)
XSP Extensibility

- Other profiling tools or methods can be integrated
  - More tracers at each stack level, e.g. CPU+GPU
  - Capture more stack levels, e.g. ML library level and application level
  - Work with accelerators and simulators
- Add more types of analyses
- Add DL training support
Conclusion

- XSP is an across-stack profiling design that aggregates profile data from different sources and correlates them to construct a holistic and hierarchical view of ML model execution
  - A smooth hierarchical step-through of model performance at different levels within the HW/SW stack to identify bottlenecks
  - Systematic and scalable comparisons of models, frameworks, and hardware through the consistent profiling and automated analysis workflows
  - Extensible to accommodate different use cases
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